

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

CABINET

3rd June 2009

Sports Hub Provision

Responsible Portfolio Holder	Cllr Roger Smith
Responsible Head of Service (deputy)	John Godwin
Key Decision	

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 The following report sets out the proposed allocation of the Capital funding relating to the Provision of Sports Hubs as contained within the forward capital programme. This report is linked to the Playing Pitch Strategy review contained within the Preferred Planning Guidance (PPG) 17 Assessment and outlines the headline areas that require consideration.

2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 That the Cabinet agrees the proposed schemes/projects identified in section 3.15 and recommends to the Council that the funding allocation and projects contained within this report are agreed and implemented.
- 2.2 The Cabinet request officers to review the PPG17 sections relating to Playing Pitches and Outdoor Sports Facilities and develop appropriate actions plans to address these issues in time for the 2010/11 football season. This review will include where required additional funding bids to be contained with in the forth coming business planning cycle and the review of the medium term financial plan.
- 2.3 That the Cabinet request officers to implement a Bromsgrove first approach to pitch allocations and gives priority to Bromsgrove Teams & Bromsgrove League during the initial stages of rebooking.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 As Members will be aware as part the of the 200/09 business planning cycle, capital funding was allocated for the review and implementation of Sports Hubs to increase the availability of high quality sports provision and to ensure that facilities/activities were accessible to all sectors of the local community.
- 3.2 This work was to be undertaken following the results of PPG17, with specific reference being paid to the section that covers Playing Pitches and Outdoor Sports Facilities.

- 3.3 The Planning Policy Guidance 17: Planning for open space, sport and recreation states that well designed and implemented planning policies for open space, sport and recreation are fundamental to delivering broader Government objectives, like supporting an urban renaissance, rural renewal, promotion of social inclusion and community cohesion, health and well being and promoting more sustainable development. To achieve this, local authorities should undertake robust assessments of the existing and future needs of their communities for open space, sport and recreation facilities and by referring to this information, to set locally derived standards for the area. This study is therefore undertaken in accordance with PPG17 and the guiding principles in its companion guide: Assessing needs and opportunities. The assessment is an essential part of the evidence base which underpins the core strategy, however the document does not only relate to the Council's planning functions it also impacts on the current operation of services with in the Parks and Open Spaces and any future service enhancements/expansions plans. Some of the first core strategies to be developed were found to be unsound as they did not have a fully compliant PPG17 assessment to justify policies on open space.
- 3.4 During the review of the capital programme in 2008/09 this work was re profiled and the funding was placed in the capital programme for use in 2009/10. This change was primarily due the receipt of the PPG17 being delayed and the Council not having the information required to make a robust decision on local need.
- 3.5 The out turn reports relating to the above mentioned areas can be found as appendixes to this report. The PPG17 reports give a detail breakdown of the definitions, methodology, context, comparator information, finding and recommendations relating to these areas and have been used as a basis for the funding allocations recommended.
- 3.6 For the purposes of this report Officers have excluded any future planning development implications as these will be address through the Local Development Frame Work/Core Strategy documents, further more officers have not considered the future demand implications highlighted and have based the recommendation on the current position with in the District.
- 3.7 The following information shows the key issues and key implications arising from the reports summary section:

Playing Pitch Strategy (section 9.1 & 9.2)

The key issues arising from the application of the methodology include:

- *there is an theoretical oversupply (17.4) of adult football pitches on the peak day across the District*
- *there is an undersupply of junior pitches (-8.6) on the peak day (Sunday). However, in practice the adult pitch stock is being used by junior teams*

- *there is an undersupply of (-1.8) mini-soccer pitches on the peak day (Sunday). This indicates that mini soccer teams are likely to be using adult / junior pitches*
- *there is a slight shortfall of cricket pitches (-2.0) on the peak day (Sunday)*
- *there is an oversupply (10) of adult rugby union pitches on the peak day (Saturday)*
- *there is an undersupply (-10.5) of junior rugby pitches on the peak day, which is Sunday mornings. However, there is also a theoretical surplus of adult pitches on this day, which is used to accommodate junior matches*
- *in order to maximise resources, increasing access to school facilities should be a key priority going forward.*

The key implications of these findings for the Local Development Framework are:

- *protect all pitches from development unless it can be proven that the replacement of a facility will result in a higher quality facility in a nearby location*
- *seek to improve the quality of pitches. Sites should meet National Governing Body criteria. This includes the provision of appropriate changing facilities and installation of drainage where required*
- *allow for a strategic reserve of pitches to ensure that rest and recovery can take place*
- *allocate additional land for the development of at least one synthetic pitch and floodlit training facilities for football.*

Outdoor Sports Facilities (section 8.73, 8.101 to 107)

The key issues arising from the accessibility mapping regarding the provision of outdoor sports facilities in Bromsgrove sites include:

- *all residents have access to at least one outdoor sports facility within the recommended travel times*
- *all residents, with the exception of those in the far North East of the District (Hollywood and Majors ward) are within a 15 minute drive of tennis court provision*
- *the majority of residents, with the exception of those in the far North West of the District (Hagley Ward), fall within the accepted travel times of bowling greens, athletics tracks and STPs*
- *grass pitches are evenly distributed across the District but whilst most residents are within a 15 minute walk of a playing field site there are areas in all analysis areas that fall outside of these catchments.*
- *while consideration of the distribution of facilities is important, it is important to balance the desire to ensure that all residents have local*

access to facilities with the logistics of providing high quality facilities. Sites containing multiple facilities are more cost effective as well as providing greater opportunities for local residents.

Outdoor sports facilities are a wide-ranging category of open space which includes both natural and artificial surfaces for sport and recreation. Facilities can be owned and managed by Councils, sports associations, schools and individual sports clubs with the primary purpose of participation in outdoor sports. Examples include:

- *playing pitches*
- *athletics tracks*
- *tennis courts*
- *bowling greens*
- *golf courses.*

PPG17 considers the provision of all the different types of outdoor sport facilities as one and does not break down the typology into more detailed assessments for each sport. However, for the purpose of this study each sport has considered individually.

Consultation highlights issues with both the quality and quantity of facilities. Analysis of the existing provision supports this with pockets of deficiency identified in the Bromsgrove North East and Bromsgrove West analysis areas and variable quality ratings achieved during site assessment visits. Consultation indicated that enhancing the quality of sports facilities is perceived to be more important than increasing the quantity of provision.

Generally there is a good distribution of outdoor sports facilities across the District with all residents having access to at least one outdoor sports facility within the recommended travel time. All residents, with the exception of those in the far North East of the District (Hollywood and Majors ward) are within a 15 minute drive of tennis court provision and the majority, with the exception of those in the far North West of the District (Hagley Ward), fall within the accepted travel times of bowling greens, athletics tracks and STPs.

Whilst most residents are within a 15 minute walk of a playing field site there are areas in all analysis areas that fall outside of these catchments. Both enhancing the quality of existing outdoor sports facilities and increasing access to school-based provision are considered key priorities for the District.

Sport England, the national government and wider governing bodies are targeting an increase in sporting participation of 1% per annum in the run up to London 2012 and beyond. While this increase is across all sports, there will be a knock on impact on demand for facilities should this target be achieved.

It is therefore recommended that the key priorities for the future delivery of provision for outdoor sports facilities in Bromsgrove that should be addressed through the Local Development Framework and/or other delivery mechanisms are:

- *protect all outdoor sports facilities from development unless it can be proven that the replacement of a facility will result in a higher quality facility in a nearby location*
- *seek to improve the quality of outdoor sports facilities. Sites should meet National Governing Body criteria. This includes the provision of appropriate changing facilities*
- *improve access to tennis court provision at school sites and improve public transport links to existing sites*
- *upgrade the quality of identified sites tennis court provision*
- *investigate demand and potential for new provision in Hollywood and Majors ward*
- *focus on increasing access to existing publicly accessible bowling greens in the District and promoting wider participation opportunities*
- *increase access to school-based STP facilities in the District and develop at least one additional pitch*
- *facilitate the delivery of improved pitches including the provision of changing rooms and drainage installation.*

3.8 Also contained within the Playing Pitch Strategy report are several key facts and issues that officers feel should be highlighted when reviewing this issue:

- Bromsgrove has a relatively high adult to pitch ratio when compared against the national average. However football and rugby pitches are below the nation average.
- The number pitch available for community use is high, although access arrangements may need reviewing to ensure they are formally administered.
- Residents do not feel that the current pitches offer Value for Money due to high cost, low value implications and the current pitch marking arrangements (clubs are responsible for marking the pitches themselves).
- There is spare capacity on Saturday's within the District, although officers are aware that demand is low.
- The current booking arrangements and low quality of pitches create a usage in balance that places greater pressure on the pitches which are considered to be of a higher standard.
- Bromsgrove teams and teams playing in the Bromsgrove league are playing out side of Bromsgrove, whilst Bromsgrove's pitches are being used by teams/leagues from out side the district.
- Pitches are considered to be poor on the whole with private pitches considered to be of a better standard. But access to these sites and schools was considered to be difficult and the pitches under utilised.
- The lack of changing accommodation on site is considered to be a major issue and could contribute to cross boarder usage.
- Cricket provision was well catered for and not considered as requiring any assistance.

- Rugby Football has some difficulties with capacity for juniors but these issues do not require close attention as they are pitch marking/layout issues. There are some draining implications but the report felt that these may be more aspirational in the short term.
- PMP site visits did show a higher quality score than anticipated but these visits were carried out in the summer following the annual maintenance programme and the drainage issues were not evident.
- 2 pitches were identified as being unable to support the normal District/Parish Council usage of 2 games per week, these being Hopwood and Braces Lane. This will have impacted on the under provision figures in these areas.
- The new Cricket provision at Clent has not been included in the information provided, this has been notified to PMP and will be updated on the BDC spread sheet in due course.
- Other than Sub Area 3, existing provision is sufficient to meet local demand provided the pitch allocations & layouts are reviewed and revised. This is, though predicated on all pitches being compliant with the relevant National Governing Body (NGB) standards.

3.9 As Members may be aware during the preparation of the PPG17 assessment the Council has been approached by 2 junior football clubs to investigate the possibility of working in partnership to enhance and/or maintain their current community/football development work. Officers and Members of the Cabinet have met with both clubs and although being at differing stages, requiring differing levels of support and proposing differing schemes it is felt that both schemes will benefit the Community and meet the objectives set out in 3.1.

3.10 The first proposal received was from Meadow Park Football Club (sub area 5), who currently play out of Harris Brush in Stoke Prior. Meadow Park are an excellent football club with an outstanding reputation both locally and across Worcestershire and are waiting confirmation of Community Club status.

The current situation is that following a series of Health and Safety incidents that were out of their control, they are at risk of losing their home ground. Thus the pitches will be lost from public use, the large number of teams that play out of the site will fold (15 teams) or be required to relocate and it is more than likely that the club will cease to exist.

The consequences of this happening are significant and include increased pressure on the current playing pitch stock that may not be met elsewhere, loss of the contribution the club makes to football development and the associated health benefits of participants, increased demand on the Council Sports Development team to provide football opportunities, the loss of effective school club links and player pathways that are provided, inability to provide Football Association qualifications/courses to local residents and potential reputational damage for BDC if we are seen as not assisting the club.

At present the club has secured agreement for £200,000 worth of funding out of a total project cost of £250,000 to invest in onsite improvements including new changing rooms, community training room/kitchen area, car parking & additional fencing requirements to resolve the health & safety implications. The club have requested that the Council contributes the remaining £50,000 for this project in order for them to secure a 21 year lease for the facilities. Subject to a VAT review (the club have made an appeal for special dispensation) the cost to be BDC may drop to £40,000.

It is officers opinion that subject the club receiving Community Club Status, establishing a formal working relationship with Council's Sports development team in relation to training opportunities for residents and agreeing a set of KPI's to monitor the out turn of their improvement plan that a one off partnership contribution should be made as shown in section 4.

- 3.11 The second proposal received was from Alvechurch Lions Football Club (sub area 3), who currently play across a number on sites including the Hopwood site mentioned in section 3.7 of this report. Alvechurch Lions are an excellent football club with aspirations obtain Community Club status and increase their influence with in the local community.

The current situation is that due to a number of accessibility and quality implications relating to the pitches the club use it is finding it difficult to achieve its ambitions and increase its local influence. These issues have been raised with the pitch providers, however no real improvement has been seen and large numbers of game have to be cancelled thus affecting the clubs ability to grow and attract players for new teams.

In order to progress the matter the club have held discussions with Kings Norton Rugby Football Club (KNRFC) about a potential partnership approach to the situation, where by KNRFC will work with the football club and external funders (Rugby Football Union & Football Foundation) to increase capacity at its site in Hopwood. This would be primarily around infrastructure and ancillary accommodation, however the project team would also need to secure additional land alongside there current site to provide the pitch requirements. One issue that would require careful consideration is who BDC may potentially fund as although the approach was by the football club the actual current facilities & land are in the ownership of the rugby club.

At present total costs for the project are unknown as the discussions are at a very early stage, however it is felt that a sum of money in the region of £250,000 (excluding purchase or leasing of the land to provide the pitches) will be required for match funding purposes. Although it is difficult to establish a partnership contribution figure at this stage it is not unreasonable to expect that the Clubs would look for a 50% contribution or 25% of the total project costs if match funding is on the normal 50/50 basis.

The consequence of this project not going forward include, increased pressure on the current playing pitch stock that may not be met (as the current situation can not continue), potential reduction in the number of teams playing and the lose of the opportunity to grow the clubs influence.

The alternative course of action that at this stage has not been discussed with the football club is to the one highlighted above would be for the Council to liaise with the pitch provider and negotiate a pitch improvement plan and maintenance requirements (equipment) funded by BDC. This agreement would be predicated on the basis that the pitch provider would then issue a long term lease for the exclusive use of the pitches at set times by the club and charge them an agreed rate. It is anticipated that the cost of enhancing the current provision would be in the region £70,000, however this figure would require additional on site investigations to be confirmed.

It is officer's opinion based on the total available budget and the information contained within Playing Pitch Strategy that the most effective way of addressing the clubs need would be to enhance the existing playing pitch stock they use. It is accepted that that this approach may not fully meet the aspirations of the club and does not create the overall that Hub concept that was initially discussed. However it will create a sound basis for the club to develop over a period of time to achieve their objectives.

Any agreement that is established relating to this matter would require the club to obtain Community Club Status with in 18 months, establishing a formal working relationship with Council's Sports Development team and Alvechurch Football Club to enhance player pathways and agree a set of KPI's to monitor the out turn of their improvement plan/benefit to the Community.

- 3.12 Although not a project that is directly funded from this capital budget, Officers felt an update should be provided on the Barnsley Hall scheme (sub area 5) that was also delayed whilst PPG17 assessment was prepared. Based on the information contained with in the assessment specifically relating to a lack of Junior & mini soccer pitches in sub area 5, officers recommend the creation a junior based facility with no adult pitches.

The current site is capable of providing 2 junior pitch and at least 1 mini soccer pitch, which will go a long way to improving the current short falls in this area. This approach will also allow the Charford Recreation Ground Pitches to be fully utilised for adult games and as this site is already equipped with changing rooms, Bromsgrove league games should be prioritised. Currently one of the reasons for team playing away from the district is that they are fined if their pitch has no changing provision.

This approach coupled with the proposed Bromsgrove first policy will allow 4 more Bromsgrove Teams to play out of the site each season and contribute to the pitch resting implications identified with in the assessment.

This project will also require suitable changing accommodation and car parking to be created. Due to the budget constraints, section 4 financial implications will show and an increase of £45,000 is required to fund this project successfully from the Sports Hub capital budget. Officers would also feel that this scheme could be suitable for football foundation funding and would ask members to give permission for officers to develop a partnership to attract this funding whilst maintaining control of the facility if possible.

3.13 This section of the report relates to the need to address the qualitative aspects of the Council's playing pitch stock in relation to quality and drainage of pitches to ensure that they can support current demand and build upon this.

As stated in the assessment there are only three sites that currently provide changing accommodation with in the District these being located at Charford, Lickey End and Rubery. Unfortunately due to the demand on these 5 pitches the playing surfaces are considered to be poor and in need of drainage/enhancement works. Officers would consider this work imperative to meet current demand, increase usage and conform to National Governing Body and League requirements, as follows:

- Charford Recreation Ground (Sub area 5) – Drainage Works.
- Lickey End Recreation Ground (Sub area 5) – Enhancement Works & re marking.
- St Chad's Park Rubery (Sub area1) – Drainage & re marking.

3.14 The final section of this report relates to the first stage in addressing the shortfall in provision and is based upon sites currently under BDC's ownership or management. The following schemes are recommended to increase available pitches for junior & mini soccer provision and are designed to assist small local football clubs to develop and increase usage.

The 2 schemes are as follows:

- Aston Fields Recreation Ground (Sub area 5) – Enhancement works to the current pitch provision to increase the number of pitches by 1 junior and 1 mini soccer pitch.
- Braces Lane AMG – Implementation of changing accommodation and additional car parking provision to support additional usage of the pitches. Members should be aware that the comments relating to the pitch at Braces Lane (returning it to maximum capacity) have been excluded from the above recommendations as they are already programmed into the off season playing pitch maintenance plan for this year.

3.15 The following table summarises the above schemes/projects and demonstrates their contribution to the PPG17 assessment.

Scheme/Project	Sub Area	Justification Linked to PPG17
Meadow Park FC/Harris Brush	5	Protects current access arrangements and under supply of junior pitches in the sub area.
Alvechurch Lions	3	Increases provision of junior pitches in local area by return them to maximum capacity by addressing quality implications.
Barnsley Hall (existing project)	5	Increases provision junior and adult pitches. Allows pitch enhancement at other sites by creating flexibility to rest pitches periodically.
Charford Recreation Ground	5	Addresses quality implications and will allow more Bromsgrove Teams to play in Bromsgrove. This will also increase off peak usage and support sport development progression.
Lickey End Recreation ground	5	Address quality implications and will increase available pitches at off peak times.
St Chad's Park	1	Addresses quality implications and will allow more Bromsgrove Teams to play in Bromsgrove. This will also increase off peak usage and support sport development progression.
Aston Fields Recreation Ground	5	Increases provision of junior pitches and supports sport development progression.
Braces Lane AMG	5	Addresses the on site changing accommodation and capacity issues in relation to car parking.

3.16 Further more members will realise from the above table that no schemes have been recommended in Sub Areas 2 or 4, these areas will require further investigation as highlighted in recommendation 2.3. This primarily due to the fact that BDC has little or no provision in these areas and as such has a limited ability to address the issues at this stage.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The funding requirements associated with these schemes/projects are contained with in the forward capital programme under Sports Hub Provision.

4.2 The following table shows the costs associated with each scheme/project and there implication on the overall budget position.

Scheme/Project	Sub Area	Costs £000
Meadow Park FC/Harris Brush	5	50
Alvechurch Lions	3	70
Barnsley Hall (existing project)	5	45 (total scheme cost 258)
Charford Recreation Ground	5	35
Lickey End Recreation ground	5	10
St Chad's Park	1	15
Aston Fields Recreation Ground	5	15
Braces Lane AMG	5	120
Total	N/A	360
Available Budget	N/A	360

4.3 Following the completion of these schemes/projects the Councils revenue budget will need to be altered to reflect the increased cost of the change accommodation. However based on the current cost of operating changing rooms and the income generated from there use it is believed that this will be cost neutral. These costs will be built into the Medium Term Financial Plan during the forth coming budget cycle.

4.4 Members are advised that due the delay in the PPG17 assessment, the nature to the projects/schemes being under taken and the need to keep pitches available during the upcoming football season, not all of these projects will be completed with in the this financial year. The full extent of this situation will not be known until the contractor is appointed but these

issues will be used in the procurement exercise as a way of vetting applicants to ensure the works are completed as soon as possible.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are two areas within these schemes/projects that related to specific legal implications these being:

- Contract procedures relating to the procurement and installation works associated with these schemes/projects.
- Funding and usage agreements that need to be developed to govern the partnership arrangements that are proposed in relating to the football clubs reviewed in sections 3.10 & 3.11.

5.2 All other aspects relating to this report that have a legal implication for example hire agreements are covered by established operating procedures.

6. COUNCIL OBJECTIVES

6.1 The purpose of this report is to identify the funding allocations for Council's plan to develop Sports Hub Provision and the steps required to address the issues raised by the PPG17 assessment relating to the Play Pitch Strategy & Outdoor Sports Facilities. Although PPG17 is in principle a planning requirement, the nature of the assessment and the high levels of consultation & inspection that are used/undertaken in the report allows front line services to review the quantitative, qualitative & accessibility standards of current service delivery against a robust framework.

6.2 As such the recommendations are designed to enhance the level of current service provision, increase the availability of pitches and make services more accessible to local residents.

6.3 The schemes and projects that have been identified will contribute to:

- The Council's vision by increasing residents satisfaction with where they live (become proud), provide services that are on the road to excellence and by demonstrating leadership in these areas.
- The values of the Council by increasing partnership working where required to improve residents quality of life, allow greater equality of access to services and respond to the Customer first agenda by using resident feedback to shape service improvements.
- The improvements made will also directly contribute to C03 and the specific priority of sense of community as shown in section 15.3 to 15.8 of the PPG17 assessment summary and planning overview.

6.4 The PPG17 assessment report in section 15.12 to 15.15 also highlights the contributions Green Spaces, Sport & Recreational facilities make to the

regional & local documents and the Worcestershire LAA & its specific objectives.

7. **RISK MANAGEMENT**

7.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:

- Inability to undertake the identified schemes/projects should the Council not be able to develop effective partnerships in areas where BDC does not own/manage the sufficient land.
- Potentially poor publicity or reputational damage within the sub areas where insufficient land supply is identified to make short terms changes.
- Project management implications within a small team that has a large number of projects ongoing during a limited time frame.
- User dissatisfaction whilst the works are undertaken and/or some projects are prioritised over others to ensure that sufficient pitches are available to met current need.

7.2 These risks are being managed as follows:

- Inability to undertake the identified schemes/projects:
 - *Officer's & Members to meet with the relevant organisations and build working relationship based on the indentified need to improve provision for residents.*
 - *Full project plans to be developed that include key milestones for a jointly agreed project scope & definition, usage agreements designed to met the projects aims and claw back clauses. These will need to be signed off prior to any other works commencing.*
 - *A set of PI's developed for each scheme that agree the out turns required to draw down the BDC investment, the reporting format and frequency of data and any claw back clauses based on under performance. These will need to be signed off prior to any other works commencing.*
- Poor Publicity or reputational damage in sub areas:
 - *Communications plan developed to respond to local concerns and agreed responses based on proposed schemes/projects prepared.*
 - *Staff briefings to be held where required to ensure effective communications with residents.*
 - *Long term implications built into future planning documents to address shortfalls in provision.*

- *Project Management & User dissatisfaction Implications*
 - *Project management team established and project methodology & plan agreed to reflect capacity implications.*
 - *Procurement to be based on single supplier to ensure effective working relationships developed and single point of contact established.*
 - *Current work loads re profiled and any additional responsibilities/back filling requirements identified.*
 - *Work programme/plans designed to minimise impact on users and work to be under taken out side of football season where ever possible.*
 - *Alternative pitches to be provided during work programme to provide continuity of access.*
 - *Communications plan prepared on specific issues as and when required to control the flow of information and ensure suitable notification is given of changes to pitches etc.*

7.3 Currently the risk identified in the bullet point in 7.1 are not addressed by any risk register and will be added to the SS&C risk register for 2009.

8. CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Please see section 6 & 7 of this report as they identify all issues and actions required relating to customers.

9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no specific Equality & Diversity implications contained with in this report. However all build projects that are undertaken will conform to the Disabled Discrimination Act and building regulations part M. Once the schemes are commenced the service area/provision will be impact assessed & any potential issues addressed on a case by case basis.

10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no specific VFM implications contained with in this report, however the recommended action will lead to a more coordinated approach to services delivery/provision, a rationalisation playing pitches to met user need, enhanced partnership working, higher customer satisfaction with the services provided (quality of life) and a more effective use of resources in future years.

11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues – Yes, procurement of a supplier/contractor to undertake pitch and ancillary works.
--

Personnel Implications - None

Governance/Performance Management - None
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act 1998 – None
Policy – Yes, this relates to the suggested operational policy change highlighted around restricting Bromsgrove pitches initially for Bromsgrove teams.
Environmental – None

12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

Portfolio Holder	YES
Chief Executive	YES
Executive Director - Partnerships and Projects	NO
Executive Director - Services	YES
Assistant Chief Executive	NO
Head of Service	YES
Head of Financial Services	YES
Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic Services	NO
Head of Organisational Development & HR	NO
Corporate Procurement Team	NO

13. WARDS AFFECTED

‘All Wards

14. APPENDICES

Appendix 1: PPG17 Assessment of Bromsgrove District Council section 8 Playing Pitch Strategy & Outdoor Sports Facilities.

Appendix 2: Bromsgrove Ward Map and Sub Area guide.

15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Open Space, Sport and Recreation Local Needs Survey (A copy has been placed in members room or available electronically from the strategic planning team).

CONTACT OFFICER

Name: John Godwin
E Mail: j.godwin@bromsgrove.gov.uk
Tel: (01527) 881730